Development and optimization of a new extraction of pesticides from soil using direct-immersion SPME LC-Tips followed by GC-MS/MS R. S. Carvalho¹, J. Brinco¹, M. Gomes da Silva², A. B. Ribeiro¹, P. Guedes¹, E. P. Mateus¹

¹CENSE – Center for Environmental and Sustainability Research & CHANGE - Global Change and Sustainability Institute, NOVA School of Science and Technology, NOVA University Lisbon, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal. Email: rsi.carvalho@campus.fct.unl.pt

²LAQV/REQUIMTE, Department of Chemistry, NOVA School of Science and Technology, NOVA University Lisbon, 2829-516, Caparica, Portugal



Introduction

Modern agriculture requires the use of pesticides in order to ensure crop yields and prevent losses and disease. Since pesticides contaminate environmental systems, there is a need to develop them qualitatively and quantitatively. This work describes the development and optimization of a new methodology for extracting pesticides from soil, which is potentially greener and simpler than the ones currently used. It employs a new Solid Phase MicroExtraction (SPME) configuration, where the fiber is held on a micropipette tip, followed by Gas Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS/MS) analysis. This SPME configuration was developed specifically for DI-SPME (Direct Immersion).

Chromatographic Conditions Instrument: Bruker Scion 456 GC-MS/MS (TQ) Inlet mode: PTV Carrier Gas: Helium, 0.7mL/min Column: ZB-5ms (20m x 0.18 mm i.d., 0,18µm df) Column: 50° C for 3 min, then 20° C/min to 250° C,

then 4^o C/min to 310^o C, held for 2 min

Method Development and Optimization

1. Design of Experiments (DoE) - Plackett-Burman

Metribuzin, Penconazole, Tebuconazole and

Variables Studied		Results
Use of Buffer	Yes or No	No
Concentration of Analyte Protectants [1]	250 or 500 mg L ⁻¹	500 mg L ⁻¹
Extraction Time	30 or 60 minutes	60 minutes

2. Optimizing % of Organic Solvent and Extraction Time



This work reports for the first time the use of direct-immersion SPME for the extraction of pesticides from soil. This new method generates very clean extracts and high concentration factors. It also produces little toxic waste per sample and appears to be very cost-effective. However, it suffers from poor repeatability, which must be mitigated by the use of an

[1] Anastassiades, M., Mastovska, K. & Lehotay, S. Evaluation of Analyte Protectants to Improve Gas Chromatographic Analysis of Pesticides. J. Chromatogr. A 1015, 163–184 (2003).

Acknowledgements: This work received national funds through Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT) through the Research units CENSE "Center for Environmental and Sustainability Research", (UIDB/04085/2020, UIDP/04085/2020 and LA/P/0121/2020) and LAQV/REQUIMTE (UID/QUI/ 50006/2020). FCT is also acknowledged for J. Brinco (UI/BD/150867/2021) fellowship, and P. Guedes Contract established under Individual Call to Scientific Employment Stimulus (CEECIND/01969/2020). This research is anchored at RESOLUTION LAB, an infrastructure at NOVA School of Science and Technology.